1144, 1149 (9th Cir. 2010) (internal citations omitted).

Further, it appears that movant may also be requesting the court to credit his federal sentence by the amount of time he served in a prior, completed state sentence. The court finds that based on

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

	Case 2:08-cr-00343-JCM-NJK Document 52 Filed 02/19/13 Page 2 of 2
1	the information available to it and the information provided in defendant's motion, which is
2	essentially no information, there is no reason to grant a concurrent sentence.
3	Accordingly,
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant's motion to run
5	federal sentence concurrent or partially concurrent with state sentence (doc. # 50) be, and the same
6	hereby, is DENIED.
7	DATED February 19, 2013.
8	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	CITIED STATES DISTRICT GODGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge